From: "Edwina Juillet" <edwina@shentel.net>

To: <wtc@nist.gov>

Cc: "Sivaraj Shyam-Sunder ...snip... William.Grosshandler@nist.gov>

Subject: My Comments

Geez Louise, the terms "wheelchair bound" and "the mobility challenged" have been out of main stream usage for ages. Yet, this language appears throughout the WTC report.

I have attached a set of writing guidelines by *The Research Training Center/Independent Living (RTC/IL) NIDRR*. It is one of the better, if not the best, on the topic of writing guidelines, for example:

• Incorrect: "confined to a wheelchair," "wheelchair-bound," or "crippled."

Disability groups also strongly object to using euphemisms to describe disabilities. Terms such as "physically challenged" are considered condescending. (from attached document)

How, difficult would it be for the person charged with the 'final write' to do the word search, (as I have), make the edits, bringing the document in line with common usage?

Sincerely submitted,

Edwina Juillet edwina@shentel.net

[Filename: Appendix A FA-235.lnk, Content-Type: unknown/unknown]

\*\*\*ALERT\*\*\* This is an auto-generated message. There was an attachment to this email message. The NIST firewall has stripped the attachment because it may contain a virus or malicious code. For further information, please refer to <a href="http://www-i.nist.gov/cio/itsd/firewall/pp\_nist/policy/policy\_blockedAttachments.htm">http://www-i.nist.gov/cio/itsd/firewall/pp\_nist/policy/policy\_blockedAttachments.htm</a>

\*\*\*ALERT\*\*\*